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Introduction

I could finally lay my hand on an essay of Heinrich Lüders, written for the Scientific Royal Society - Philology and History - at Göttingen in 1905. As it brought many answers to my questioning about the way dice games were played in old India, and particularly in the Mahābhārata, I first translated it into French, and then tried to give a abstract in English. You see, from the beginning on, I speak of dice games: actually, a major difficulty in comprehending the dice game is that they are different sorts of dice and different types of games that are talked of in the same words. Another difficulty is that the commentators tend to describe the type of game of the text in terms of the one they know from their own time.

Lüders has done a tremendous work tracing through Indian literature, from the Veda to some texts already written in Pali, the passages where game terms were mentioned. He quotes in his essay 270 passages coming from 52 different works1.

I will hereunder summarise his essay, giving only the broad outlines, without entering into the details. The main stress will be laid on the dice game in the Mahābhārata. The passages directly translated from Lüders are in blue, to distinguish them from my version.

Dice

Under the generic term akṣa, very different type of dice are to be found. I will review them:

Vibhītaka Nuts: the fruits of the Vibhopītaka tree, the size of a hazelnut, were called “the browns, babhru” in the Veda. They were the dice used in the oldest periods, from the Vedic to the ritual and the epic dice games. We will see later how they were played.

Cauri Shells, (kaparda, kapardaka) could have played the same role as the vibhītaka nuts. They could also have been played by counting those which fall the rounded side upside or downside. As they play a very secondary role, we will come no more on them.

Pāśaka (or pāśa): right prisms, about 7 x 1 x 1 cm for some, sometimes in gold or in any other matter, sometimes coloured. The four long sides are marked with dots (eyes, akṣas), generally from 1 to 4. In certain games played with three

1 I give a list of the quoted works at the end of this article.
pāśakas, each one is marked with a special sign. pāśakas seems not to have been known in Vedic times

**Accessories**

**dyūtamaṇḍala**: a circle drawn in the earth around the gamblers. A gambler can’t go out of it if he has not paid his debt.

**phalaka, paṭṭaka**: a tray on which the dice are thrown during the game or a tray on which the dice are placed before the game.

**adhidevana, āstara, iriṇa**: an area slightly dug in the ground on which the dice are thrown in order they can’t roll everywhere.

**aṣṭapada**: a board on which pawns are moved according to the result given by the dice. For different types of game, the boards are different and could maybe have other names.

**sāra**: pawns moved on a board.

**Number of dice**

With the vibhūtaka nuts a great number of those nuts was used (150, 400, more than 100 or even more than 1000 ...). With the pāśakas, according to the type of game, three dice or one dice thrown three times, two dice, one dice.

**Throws** (aṇas, āṇas)

aya is the generic name given to the result of a throw (we will see later other throws with the pāśakas, named āṇas). There are four ayas: kṛta, tretā, dvāpara and kali (in some specific cases also five). kṛta is the winning throw, kali the losing one.

- **Vibhūtaka nuts**

  With the vibhūtaka nuts, the dice thrown on the adhidevana are divided in 4 equal parts. If there is no remainder (the number divisible by four), we have kṛta; if the remainder is three, we have tretā; if it is two, dvāpara; if it is one, kali.

  a) **In the ritual games**, a certain number of dices are divided between the participants. In the Agnīadhēya, the father receives 12 dice from 49 (a kṛta amount), in the Rājasūya, 400 dice are thrown in favour of the king (a kṛta amount again), and 5 given to him, but he doesn’t play with them.

  b) **For the Vedic game**, Lüders wrote:
“How could we imagine the dice game in the most ancient times, that is difficult to say. We could think for example that the gambler who challenges the other throws at first a certain number of dice on the adhidevana and that the second gambler must throw straight away a number of dice such as the total of the two throws be krta (divisible by four)”

c) In the Mahābhārata: for Lüders, the dice game played in the epic was always a game played with Vibhūtaka nuts, apart from some passages in Parvan IV. He wrote:

“The type of the dice game appears to be not different in the Mahābhārata and in the Vedic hymns. In the epic game, dice were obviously thrown; the sentence akṣān kṣipati in MBh III, 34, 5 proves it. Moreover, all the informations we can collect from the epic match perfectly with what we know about the ritual game. In the epic as we have seen, piling up of dice is also mentioned. In III, 34, 5, even and odd dice are mentioned:

akṣāṃś ca dṛṣṭvā Śakuner yathāvat kāmānukūlān ayujo yujaś ca |
śākyam niyantum abhavisyad ātmā manyus tu hanyāt puruṣasya dhairyam ||

The expressions yuj and ayuj are understandable only if the number of dice plays a role, like in the ritual game.

“Granted this hypothesis, a point in Nala’s story that I didn’t understand till now would be explained. We know that Nala, who, possessed by Kali, has always been an unlucky gambler, becomes again able to win when Ṛtuparṇa passes the akṣahrdaya on him. The exact circumstances are told in MBh III, 72. We are shown how Nala, in the guise of the chariot driver Bahuka, sets off with Ṛtuparṇa and Vārsṇeyā. Ṛtuparṇa looks with envy at Nala’s skill in driving horses and prides himself on his perfect command of the numbers (7: sanmktvāne praman vam balam); he gives straight away a proof of his talent, by counting in a flash the leaves and the fruits of a vibhūtaka tree which stood in the way. Nala is not convinced by the display; he stops the horses and wants to go down to fell the tree and count the fruits again. Only after a long harangue of Ṛtuparṇa urging him to continue the journey, he contents himself with a partial test on a branch and finds out that Ṛtuparṇa’s count is right. Nala is amazed at this skill. Ṛtuparṇa explains; “Know that I am acquainted with the dice’s secret and that I am an expert on numbers” (26):

viddhv akṣahrdayajñt māṃ sanmktvāne ca viśāradam |

“And now, Nala suggests they exchange their mutual talents. He promises Ṛtuparṇa the asvahrdaya, the secret of the horses and receives immediately from Ṛtuparṇa the great secret of the dice (29: akṣānāṃ hrdayaṃ param). A soon as he possesses it, Kali gets out of him and enters the
vibhītaka. Nala is now able to win at the dice game. He comes back home, challenges Puṣkara and wins his kingdom again.

"This story leaves no doubt that the aṣvahṛdaya consists in the ability to count in the wink of an eye a big number of similar objects. It is blindly obvious that such an ability was of the utmost importance for the game when the number of thrown dice was concerned. When, for example, the point was to bring the number of thrown dice by the adversary to a multiple of four, as I have supposed above, the victory came naturally to the gambler who was able to count in a flash this number2.

" The relation between the counting ability and the dice skill is alluded to in Sabhāparvan (II, 59, 7). Śakuni praises a good gambler:

\[ yo vetti samkhyāṁ nirkṛtau vidhiṁśa ceṣṭasv akhinnāḥ kitavo 'kṣajāsu \]
\[ mahāmatir yaś ca janāti dyūtaṁ sa vai sarvam sahate prakṛiyāsu \]

" According to St Petersburg Dictionary, saṁkhyā could be “an attentive examination of the pros and cons”, as much as “a calculation”, But it seems impossible to me in this context that saṁkhyā is the same that the saṁkhyāna the gambler has to understand, according to MBh III, 72, 26. Therefore, I translate the verse on the following way: “The gambler who knows the numbers, who knows the rules in case of cheating, is tirelessly in the activity related to the game the one who is able of everything in the (different game’s) types4”.

"If I am right, once in the Veda, the matter is also about numbers. In Av. IV, 16, 5, Varuṇa says:

\[ saṁkhyātā asya nimīṣo janānāṁ akṣān iva śvaghnī ni minoti tāni \]

The meaning of the two last words is uncertain. I believe, in spite of the difficulties due to the gender, that tāni has to be completed by a concept like “the flutter of eyelashes”, and I would translate ni minoti, according to St Petersburg Dictionary, by “to measure, to calculate”; “He has counted men’s

---

2 Grierson (JRAS (1904, pp. 355 sq) has compared Rūpaṇa’s talent with the talent of modern käniyās who value the crops of wheat or fruits. However interesting be this remark, it doesn’t explain the structural relation between the counting ability and the dice skill. Gierson seems not to have recognized such a relation, although it shows up very clearly from the whole story, and particularly from verse 26. On other minor points, I don’t agree either with Gierson’s interpretations. He thinks Rūpaṇa has challenged Nala to play “even or odd”, but there is nowhere a question of such a challenge. I believe even less that Rūpaṇa has chosen the vibhītaka tree to show his counting ability only because he was a good gambler. He could have chosen any tree to show his talent; the vibhītaka is chosen because Kali enters it afterwards.

3 Nīlakaṇṭha’s explanation is similar: saṁkhyāṁ samyak khyānam jayaparāja-advīra- vivekam.

4 Nīlakaṇṭha explains prakṛiyāsu by dyātakriyāsu. Böhtlingk, in P.W. translates by “by his energy”.
flutters of eyelashes⁵, he counts them like the gambler counts the dice”, But whatever the meaning of ni minoti tāni, Varuṇa is compared here with a dice gambler, and when it is said “he counts”, it is impossible for the numbers he counts to be others than those which play such an important role in the dice game, according to the Mahābhārata description.

“We have still to tackle another point. The game’s description, as it is given in MBh (II, 60 sq; 76), will seem to every reader, first surprising, then really incomprehensible. Šakuni, who plays for Duryodhana, and Yudhīśṭhira are seated face to face. First Yudhīśṭhira names his stake, then Duryodhana tells his representative what he has to stake as an equivalent. The storyteller goes on (II, 60, 9):

tato jagrāha Šakunis tān aksan aksatattvavit 1
jitam ity eva Šakunir Yudhīśṭham abhāṣata 11

“Šakuni then, who knew the art of dice, took the dice. “Won” said Šakuni to Yudhīśṭhira”.

“Yudhīśṭhira names straight away another stake. Again, immediately after that, it is said (II, 61, 3):

Kauravāṇaṇi kulakaram iyeśṭham Paṇḍavam acyutam 1
ity uktah Šakunih prāha jītam ity eva taṇ nrpam 11

“The same dialogue is reproduced 17 times. Yudhīśṭhira stakes all his treasures, his brothers and himself; every time, the issue is briefly announced in the same way:

jītam ity eva Šakunir Yudhīśṭharam abhāṣata 11

“Only the first sentence differs; in II, 61, 7; 11; 14; 24; 28; 31; 65, 5; 7; 9; 11; 16; 22; 26; 29, it is:

etac chrūtvā⁶ vyavasito nikvam samupāśritaḥ 1

In II, 61, 18:

iti evaṃ vādinam Pārtham prahasann iva Saubalāḥ 1

In II, 61, 21:

iti evaṃ ukte vacane kṛtavairo durātmavān 1

In II, 65, 14:

evam uktvā tu tān aksan Chakuniḥ prayādyatat 7

Finally Šakuni commits Yudhīśṭhira to stake Draupadi, and this he agrees to. It is said (II, 65, 45):

Saubalas tu abhidhāyaivaṃ jītakāṣi madotkaṭaḥ 1
jitam ity eva tān aksan punar evānvapadyata 11

⁵ Sāyaṇa understands saṃkhyāta as the Nom. Sing. of saṃkhyāt and links it to nimiṣaḥ as a Gen. going with asya.

⁶ In II, 61, 7: evam śrutvā.

⁷ The words evam uktvā relate to Šakuni’s short sarcastic remark when Yudhīśṭhira begins to stake his brothers.
The game’s procedure is described in the same way for the second dice game. Šakuni draws up precisely what is at stake: the losing party will have to live twelve years in the forest and one year unrecognised among the men. It is then briefly said (II, 76, 24)

pratijagrāha taṁ Pārtho glanam jagrāha Saubalāḥ
jītam ity eva Šakunir Yudhiṣṭhiram abhāṣata

It could be concluded from the complete silence of the text on Yudhiṣṭhira’s throws that he, during all the game, never threw dice, but I believe that this conclusion isn’t right. It isn’t said either, in 17 of the 21 cases, that Šakuni throws the dice, and yet, as far as he is concerned, nobody doubts it. In one case (II, 65, 14), it is said however that “he plays in answer”, pratyādīvyata, and that seems to suggest clearly Yudhiṣṭhira has thrown the dice before him. If the previous hypothesis on the game’s procedure were correct, the description of the Mahābhārata would be perfectly clear: Yudhiṣṭhira names his stake and straight afterward throws a certain number of dice; Šakuni throws immediately such a number of dice that the total would be kṛta.

“Concerning the value of the āyas in the epic game, kṛta seems to always occupy the first place, while kali occupies the lowest. This last sentence becomes clear in Nala’s story: Nala always loses because he is possessed by Kali, the personified losing throw. Thus the epic game is the same as the one we have recognized as usual in the Vedic times.

“Concerning the word akṣa in the Mahābhārata, it is always explained as pāṣa by Nīlakaṇṭha. The description of the game between Šakuni and Yudhiṣṭhira in Sabhāparvan, between Nala and Puṣkara in Āraṇyaparvan, shows clearly that what is played here is the ancient Vedic game with Vibhīṣṭaka nuts, that probably Nīlakaṇṭha didn’t know. In few other passages, akṣa seems to designate pāṣaka... akṣas are mentioned in MBh, IV, 7, 1, that are made of cat’s eye gem and gold, in IV, 1, 25, black and red akṣas made in cat’s eye gem, in gold, in ivory, and if it is possible to reproduce Vibhīṣṭaka

8 I hope to prove that later on.

9 vaidūryaśāpan pratimucyā kāṇcaṇān aksāṇ parigṛhyā vāsasa
Nīlakaṇṭha, who had evidently in mind the Caupur or the Chess with dice, tries to complete vaidūryaśāpan and kāṇcaṇān śārin interpreting them as aksāṇ: vaidūryaśāpan kāṇcaṇāṃś ca śārin
idam śvetakatāśārīṛṇām śārīphalakasya copalakṣaṇaṁ | aksāṇ pāśaṁś ca. This construction seems impossible to me if I consider that the matter is about a dice game combined with a board.

10 vaidūryaṁ kāṇcanāṁ dāntāṁ phalair jyottiṃ rajasaṁ saha
kṛṣṇasāl lohitakṣaṁś ca nivartasyāmi manoramāṁ
The second pāda isn’t clear for me. Nīlakaṇṭha understands here dāntān as śārin, and relates vaidūryaṁ, kāṇcayaṁ et jyotiṃrajaṁ to it, that would mean black and red. He analyses phalair as śāristhāpanārthankī koṣṭhayuktānī kaṣṭhadimāyaṁ phalakānī taṁ, by what he means “wooden board a.s.o., provided with fields for placing the pawns”, and not as Hopkins (Position of the Ruling Caste in Ancient India, JAOS,
nuts or cauri shells in gold, their reproduction in gems, or ivory, or in different
colours is very unlikely, and in any case, not confirmed elsewhere. In MBh,
IV, 68, it is told how the king Viråţa got angry with Yudhişthira during a dice
game and, furious, hit him with a dice on the nose, making it bleed (45-46):

\texttt{\textit{tatah prakupito råjå tam aksenâhanad bhåśam}}
\texttt{\textit{mukhe Yudhiśthiram kopàn naivam ity eva bhartsayan}}

“Here the word akså can’t designate a Vibhåítaka nut, the size of a
hazelnut, with which one can’t make somebody bleed. The author could only
have a påśaka in mind, which is long and heavy enough to wound.

“And it is certainly no accident if all these passages where akså can be
understood as påśaka, are found in Viråţaparvan, i.e. the Parvan in which
other lifestyles and customs appear, apparently more recent than in the others
parts of the epic\textsuperscript{11}. I find no difficulty in admitting that akså has another
signification in this fourth Parvan, which speaks about another type of game,
than in the others\textsuperscript{12}”

“According to all that, it seems evident to me that the Vedic game and
the epic game are identical. With one exception only in the Viråţaparvan
whose author, as I have shown before, had in mind a game with påśakas,
probably combined with a game board; to which we will come back later on.
The word vråṣa in MBh III, 59, 6; 7 could make us think of a game with
påśakas if we understand it really as the name of a dice throw, because, from
all we know, such a name could be found only in the game with påśakas and
not at all in a game with vibhåítaka nuts. But I believe this conclusion isn’t right;
I rely for that on the argument I have given above against the interpretation
of vråṣa as the name of a throw. For, if we interpret vråṣa this way, we would
have to admit that the author of Nala’s story III, 59, 6; 7, had in mind a
completely different type of game than in the rest of his work, which seems
very unlikely”

\textsuperscript{8}\textsuperscript{8}

Vol. XIII, p. 123): “an hollowed vessel for rattling the dice”. He has in mind the same game than in
IV, 7.1. In the Harivaṁśa, it is also told about red and black dice.

\textsuperscript{11} The reason for that is probably that, concerning the Fourth Book’s content, apart for the
didactic parts, there is no former source by which the author could feel himself bound, while for the
other books, he has to older descriptions at his disposal.

\textsuperscript{12} I will return on this later on. A picture in the Bombay edition of this Parvan, on which
Yudhiśthira is depicted holding two påśakas in hand, shows that the modern Indians imagine Viråţa
Parvan’s akså as a påśaka. Concerning our problem, that proves nothing, for today as in Nilakaṇṭha’s
time, dice game is seen all over the Mahåbåhårata as a game played with påśakas.
d) **in the Chaṇḍyoga Upaniṣad and the Mrčchakaṭṭika**, the rule seems to be more complex: The stake is divided in ten parts, five brought by each player. The rule is:

\[
\text{ubhayor dhane pratyekaṁ daśadhā āṛte} \mid \text{yadi vādi ekāṁkam pātayet tadaikam aṁśaṁ dhanāḥ dhāre} \mid \text{dvyaṁkapāte pūrveṇa saha trīn aṁśaṁs} \mid \text{tryaṁkapāte pūrvaiḥ śaḍbhiḥ saha daśāpy aṁśān hared iī.}
\]

If the player makes *kali*, he takes one part of the stake (*dhana*), three with *dvāpara*, six with *tretā*, and all the ten parts with *kṛta*.

e) **In Pali Literature**: in some passages, the game is played with vibhītaka nuts.

“While in the Jātaka, the bad loser, every time he loses, hides a dice in the mouth what leads as a result to the game’s cessation, according to the Pāyasisuttanta he swallows up all the oncoming *kalis* (*āgaṭāgataṁ kāliṁ gilati*) and turns thus the game in his favour, for his adversary reproaches him for getting only winning throws (*tvāṁ kho samma ekantikena jināśi*). ... The author of the Suttanta could only have had in mind the game with (swallowable) Vibhītaka nuts, and understood by *kali* the rest of the division by four; to swallow them shifted the throw from the losing to the winning one”

But the games are more often played with *pāśakas*.

---

**- Pāśakas**

Generally, the upper side of the *pāśaka* gives the result of the throw, from 1 to 4. There are many different ways to play with *pāśakas*.

a) **Oracle**: with three dice, each one marked with a sign: pot, discus and elephant, to give an order for reading the figures of the throw or with one dice thrown three times. Actually, the combinations do not have the same value: 421 is not equivalent to 124 or 214. There are thus 64 possible different throws, each one receives a name, called āya. I suppose that each āya has a particular meaning to read the future, like the 64 figures of the Yi King.

b) **With one or more dice**: We meet again our *ayas*, from *kṛta* to *kali* (the winner is the one who makes the winning *aya*, i.e. *kṛta* (*kaṭa* in Pali), or our *āyas*, the winner being the one who throws the chosen āya. It seems to be a pure question of luck, but there is also a part of skill in this game. Once the dice thrown, the gambler can catch them into the air and throw them again. The Vidhurapaṇḍitajātakā tells:

“After the king has sung the Game’s Song and rolled the dice in the hand, he threw them in the air. Because of Puṇḍaka’s magical power, the dice were falling in the king’s disfavour. But, due to his great skill, the king realised that the dice were falling wrong. He picked them into the air, and threw them again. This second time, they were falling again in the king’s disfavour. He realised that and seized them again the same way”. ...
“The gambler’s skill, as the storyteller highlights it, consists in recognising in the wink of an eye if the dice will fall in his favour or not (rājā jūtasippamhi sukusalatāya pāsake attano parājayāya bhassante ūatvā”).

If we compare these Gāthās with the Mahābhārata we find the same expressions:

“Gāthā 91 says about the two gamblers: te pāvisuṇ akkhamadena mattā. The epic also knows the expression “intoxicated by the game”, or “by the game’s drunkenness”: It appears even in triṣṭubh, to the detriment of śloka.. MBh II, 67, 4 reports the embassy of Draupadi:

Yudhiṣṭhira dyūtamadena matto Duryodhano Draupadi tvām ajaiṣīt 
and she answers (5):

mūḍho rājā dyūtamadena matto hy abhūn nānyat kaitavam asya kimcīt 
In śloka, for reasons of metrics there is a slight modification. In MBh III, 59, 10, Nala says:

tam akṣamadasaṃattāṃ suhṛdāṃ na tu kaścana 

nivāraṇe bhavac chakto dīvayamānam ariṇḍamam 

In the same way that Puṇṇaka had insisted before playing on the fact the game should take place without cheating (G.89-90): dharmena jīvyāma asāhasena and passantu no te asaṭhena yuddham, Yudhiṣṭhira insists as well on the “fair play” (MBh II, 59, 10;11:

dharmeṣa tu jayo yudhe tatparaṃ na tu devanam 
aśīhmaṃ aśaṭham yuddham etat satpuruṣavratam 

These correspondances show the close link between the epic and the Gāthā’s poetry”.

c) With a board. Pawns are moved on a board, according to the result given by the dice. For different types of game, the boards are different, and it is very difficult to find which game is played and how. Sometimes, we have a description quite complete; Lüders gives for example Amaracandra’s Bālabhārata (II, 5, 10 sq) where the game played between Śakuni and Yudhiṣṭhira is described in the following way:

“This text is about a dice game played on a board. In verse 11, there is talk of two dice (aṅka), like in Bhartrhari and Mayūra, and they are called aṣṭāpadāṣṭāpadamūrdhṇi pātyamānau. So each player uses one dice and one aṣṭāpada, the latter used as a game board, like the phalaka of the Jātakas”...

“The pawns are mentioned many times, and seem to be very important for the game: verse 10 tells us that Duryodhana was about to play with pawns against Yudhiṣṭhira (sārai rantuṃ). The verses 13 and 14 show that they are one half black and the other red; they correspond thus to the colour of the dice. The verse 12 speaks of the clatter of the pawns moved from one field to
the other (grhántarāropaṇa) and in verse 14 they are compared to the kings, for as the kings, they are put in, elevated, imprisoned and freed again.

\[ \text{utthāpītāropitabaddhamuktaḥ śyāmaiś ca raktaś ca nrpair ivaitau | šārāir vīcīrīdatur ekacītā jīvaṃ care 'py ādadhatāv alaśaṃ} \]

The mention of pawns moving according to the result of dice’s throws shows clearly that we are dealing with a variant, maybe with the ancestor of the TricTrac game or of the modern Pacman or Caupur\(^{14}\). The mode in which the pawns were moved was obviously similar to the present one, at least if we keep to Patañjali’s commentary on Pāṇini (V, 2, 9) for the game in question\(^{15}\), which seems quite obvious. In the rule above, Pāṇini teaches us that ayānaya kha, i. e., the taddhita suffix ina, has the meaning of “to move toward”, and he adds:

\[ \text{ayānayaṃ neya ity ucyate tatra na jñāyate ko 'yah ko 'naya iti | ayāḥ pradaksinam | anayaḥ prasavyam | pradaksinaprasavyagāminām sārānāṃ yasmin paraḥ padānāṃ asamāvesāḥ so 'yānayaḥ | ayānayaṃ neyo 'yānayīṇāḥ sārāḥ} \]

“That means: to move toward ayānaya. But we don’t know what is aya, and what is anaya? aya goes toward right anaya toward left\(^{16}\). When the fields in which the pawns arrive as they are moved to the right or to the

---

\(^{13}\) The last Pāda is incomprehensible for me, however I would say that gama et cara, according to Hemacandra, Anekārthasaṃgraha (II, 313, 405) are used with the meaning of dyutabheda and dyutaprabheda.

\(^{14}\) Maheśvara thinks obviously to these games when, in his commentary on Amara, he explains aṣṭa, devana and pāśaka as three synonyms of dice, “which allows the pawns’ movement” (śāripaṇiṇyane hetubhūtasya pāsasya).

\(^{15}\) According to Mac Donell (a. a. O., p. 121), this game would have been exactly the same than the present one. He says: This game is described this way in Patañjali’s Mahābhāṣya: “Two opposed parties move with their pieces (śāra) to the right and then, after traversing the fields or squares (pada) on their own side, turn to the left and try to move into the position of the adversary”. If we compare this description with the above quoted texts, we see that it shares not much with the original, but coincides marvellously with the way Weber (Ind. Stud. XIII, pp. 422 sq) depicts the German TricTrac game: “Bhāṣya’s indications ... and Kaiyya’s explanation ... don’t left any doubt that the game in question is our TricTrac, in which the opposite camps move first to the right, then, after having crossed the fields on their side, turn toward the left and try to enter the position of the adversary.”.

\(^{16}\) Weber (Ind. Stud. III, pp. 472 sq), who was the first to show the connexion between these passages of the Mahābhāṣya and Bārrhāri, thinks this explanation of aya and anaya has to be purely rejected and he translates ayānayina by “(piece that) is exposed to luck and unluck, i.e. to chance”, but we see no reason not to trust Patañjali’s explanation. Macdonell (a. a. O.) goes farther and claims that this game was called ayānaya, luck and unluck), as shown in the above quoted texts. Patañjali doesn’t absolutely speak of the game’s name.
left are unoccupied by the pawns from the opposite camp, it is *ayāṇaya*.

The pawn to move toward *ayāṇaya* is called *ayāṇayāṇa*\(^\text{17}\).

So we can follow this game until Pāṇini’s time. However it seems impossible to me that this is the game which is thought of in the Mahābhārata, except in the quoted passages of Book IV and maybe in V, 69, 29 sq. In more recent times, it seems that the TricTrac game (if we could call it this way) has developed itself a lot, making the old dice game decline”.

There are obviously many games played with dice and a board, but, contrary to Lüders, I don’t think that the modern games, like Pachīsī and Cauri, could be derived from the TricTrac-like game described above.

**Conclusion**

According to this essay, we are quite certain that from the Vedic to the epic time, dice game was played with vibhītaka nuts. This kind of dice was used for ritual purposes with specific *modus operandi*, and for gambling. The numbers of thrown dice played a predominant role. Lüders tells us very cautiously (*it could be thought for example ..*) how he think the game was played (see pp 3-4, in bold, Vedic game). Then the vibhītaka nuts gave way to pāśaka dice which could be played alone for divination or gambling purposes, or with a board, giving way to the modern games.

Lüders is very humble concerning his work. He wrote:

In conclusion to his article “On Vibhītaka Tree”, Roth had to recognize: “How was this game going on and what was its purpose, nobody could tell”. If I dare, in spite of that, deal with this difficult question, it is because a whole series of texts have appeared recently that could throw some light on the obscurity in which the dice game is shrouded. I think I could, thanks to them, bring some light, on some points at least. But, in spite of that, a lot remains unexplained and this new material itself brings new difficulties that I am not able to solve. I can thus consider this article only as a timid essay in a field that has known until now no satisfactory description.

\(^{17}\) From Kaiyyaṭā’s explanations, we draw game rules that correspond perfectly with the present rules:

```
sasahayasya śarasya paraṁ nākramyate padam 1
asahayas tu śareṇa parakṛtyeṇa bāḥhyate 11
```
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